arXiv:1511.05229v1 [math.CA] 17 Nov 2015

UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLES ON WEIGHTED SPHERES, BALLS AND SIMPLEXES

HAN FENG

Abstract. This paper studies the uncertainty principle for spherical h-harmonic expansions on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated with a weight function invariant under a general finite reflection group, which is in full analogy with the classical Heisenberg inequality. Our proof is motivated by a new decomposition of the Dunkl-Laplace-Beltrami operator on the weighted sphere.

1. Introduction

The uncertainty principle is a fundamental result in quantum mechanics, and it can be formulated in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, in the form of the classical Heisenberg inequality, as

$$\inf_{a\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\|x-a\|^2|f(x)|^2dx\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla f(x)|^2dx\geq\frac{d^2}{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x)|^2\right)^2, \tag{1.1}$$

where ∇ is the gradient operator. There are many papers devoted to the study of this inequality and its various generalizations, see, for instance, [9],[10],[3].

In particular, on the unit sphere, F.Dai and Y.Xu [3] established the analogue result, which states that: if $f:\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}f(x)\,d\sigma(x)=0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|f(x)|^2d\sigma(x)=1$, then

$$\Big(\min_{y\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(1-\langle x,y\rangle)|f(x)|^2\,d\sigma(x)\Big)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|\nabla_0 f|^2d\sigma(x)\Big)\geq C_d>0. \tag{1.2}$$

In a recent paper [11] , with a weight function $h_\kappa^2(x)$ invariant under a group G, he studied the uncertainty principle on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. By introducing a weighted analogue $\nabla_{\kappa,0}$ of the tangential gradient ∇_0, he proved [11, Theorem 4.1] that if $f:\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\to\mathbb{R}$ is invariant under the group G and satisfies that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}f(x)h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)=0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|f(x)|^2h_\kappa^2(x)d\sigma(x)=1$, then

$$\Big(\min_{1\leq i\leq d}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(1-\langle x,e_i\rangle)|f(x)|^2h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)\Big)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|\nabla_{\kappa,0}f|^2h_\kappa^2(x)d\sigma(x)\Big)\geq C_{\kappa,d}>0. \tag{1.3}$$

where e_i, $i=1,\cdots,d$, is the standard vector,namely only the ith coordinate is nonzero 1, and $C_{\kappa,d}$ is a constant only depends on parameter κ,d, and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The author was partially supported by the NSERC Canada under grant RGPIN 311678-2010.

The purpose of the present paper is to show that the inequality (1.3) with minimum being taken over all $y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ rather than the finite subset $\{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}$ remains true without the extra assumption that f is G-invariant.

Recall that the geodesic distance on the sphere is defined by $d(x,y) = \arccos\langle x, y\rangle$, so that

$$1 - \langle x, y\rangle = 2\sin^2 \frac{d(x,y)}{2} \sim d(x,y)^2$$

with $A \sim B$ meaning $\frac{1}{c}A \leq B \leq cA$ for some $c > 0$. It implies that (1.2),(1.3) can be regarded as a close analogy of (1.1).

Let $G \subset O(d)$ be a finite reflection group on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by σ_v the reflection with respect to the hyperplane perpendicular to v; that is,

$$\sigma_v x = x - \frac{2\langle x, v\rangle}{\|v\|^2} v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle}$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the root system of G, normalized so that $\langle v, v\rangle = 2$ for all $v \in \mathcal{R}$, and fix a positive subsystem $\mathcal{R}_+$ of $\mathcal{R}$, such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_+ \cup (-\mathcal{R}_+)$. From the general theory of reflection groups (see, e.g.,[8]), the set of reflections in G associates with $\{\sigma_v : \ v \in \mathcal{R}_+\}$, which also generates the group G. Let $\kappa : \ \mathcal{R} \to [0, \infty)$, $v \mapsto \kappa_v = \kappa(v)$ be a nonnegative multiplicative function on $\mathcal{R}$; that is, κ is a nonnegative G-invariant function on $\mathcal{R}$. Let h_κ denote the weight function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined by

$$h_\kappa(x) := \prod_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} |\langle x, v\rangle|^{\kappa_v}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.4}$$

It is G-invariant and homogeneous of degree $|\kappa| := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} \kappa_v$.

Let $\Delta_{\kappa,0}$ be the weighted analogy of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_0 on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, whose precise definition will be given in next section. Then our main result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[\min_{y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (1 - \langle x, y\rangle) |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x)\Big] \times \\ &\times \Big[\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\sqrt{-\Delta_{\kappa,0}} f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x)\Big] \geq C_{d,\kappa} > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where $C_{d,\kappa}$ is a constant depending on d and κ only.

As a direct corollary , we obtain the following improvement of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 of [11]:

Corollary 1.2. *If $f \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ satisfies that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) = 1$, then*

$$\Big(\min_{y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (1 - \langle x, y\rangle) |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x)\Big)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\nabla_{\kappa,0} f|^2 h_\kappa^2 d\sigma(x)\Big) \geq C_{\kappa,d} > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Noteworthy, the improvement by taking minimum over all $y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ instead of $\{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}$ is nontrivial since the weight h_κ^2 is not invariant under all rotations. And obviously, the requirement of the G-invariance of f turns out to be not necessary.

Finally, we shall also establish similar results for the weighted orthogonal polynomial expansions (WOPEs) with respect to the weight function

$$W_\kappa^B(x) := \Big(\prod_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} |\langle x, v\rangle|^{2\kappa_v} \Big) (1 - \|x\|^2)^{\mu - 1/2}, \qquad \mu \ge 0 \tag{1.7}$$

on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \le 1\}$ where $\mathcal{R}_+$, κ are adopted as before, as well as for the WOPEs with respect to the weight function

$$W_\kappa^T(x; \mathbb{Z}_2^d) := \Big(\prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{\kappa_i - 1/2} \Big) (1 - |x|)^{\kappa_{d+1} - 1/2}, \qquad \min_{1 \le i \le d+1} \kappa_i \ge 0, \tag{1.8}$$

or

$$W_{\kappa,\mu}^T(x; H_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{\kappa' - 1/2} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant d} |x_i - x_j|^\kappa (1 - |x|)^{\mu - 1/2}, \qquad \min\{\kappa', \kappa, \mu\} \ge 0, \tag{1.9}$$

on the simplex $\mathbb{T}^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_j \ge 0, \ldots, x_d \ge 0, 1 - |x| \ge 0\}$, here, and in what follows, $|x| := \sum_{j=1}^d |x_j|$ for $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The Dunkl Theory.** This theory of spherical h-harmonics was initially developed by C.F. Dunkl in [5, 6, 7]. For the details, one can refer to for instance [8] and [2]. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a fixed root system in $\mathbb{R}^d$ normalized so that $\langle v, v\rangle = 2$ for all $v \in \mathcal{R}$, and G the associated reflection group. Let $\kappa : \mathcal{R} \to [0, \infty)$ be a multiplicity function on $\mathcal{R}$.

The Dunkl operators associated with G and κ are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_i f(x) = \partial_i f(x) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} \kappa_v \langle v, e_i\rangle \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_v x)}{\langle x, v\rangle}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, d, \quad f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $\mathcal{R}_+$ is a fixed positive subsystem of $\mathcal{R}$. Here we use the notation $g \circ f(x) := f(gx)$ for $g \in G$, $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

The κ-Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by $\Delta_\kappa := \sum_{j=1}^d \mathcal{D}_j^2$. The operator Δ_κ is G-invariant; that is, $g \circ \Delta_\kappa = \Delta_\kappa \circ g$ for all $g \in G$. Similarly, the κ-grandient is defined by $\nabla_\kappa = (\mathcal{D}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{D}_d)$. Furthermore, by restricting on the unit sphere, the weighted analogue $\Delta_{\kappa,0}$ of Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_0 and analogue $\nabla_{\kappa,0}$ of the tangential gradient ∇_0 are defined as follows:

$$\Delta_{\kappa,0} f(x) := \Delta_\kappa F(z)|_{z=x}, \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$

and

$$\nabla_{\kappa,0} f(x) := \nabla_\kappa F(z)|_{z=x}, \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$

where $F(z) = f(\frac{z}{\|z\|})$.

2.2. **h-harmonic expansions.** Let $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = 1\}$ denote the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^d$ equipped with the usual Haar measure $d\sigma(x)$, and the weight function h_κ given in (1.4). For $1 < p < \infty$, recall that

$$\|f\|_{\kappa,p} := \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(y)|^p h_\kappa^2(y) d\sigma(y) \Big)^{1/p}.$$

We denote by Π_n^d the space of all spherical polynomials of degree at most n on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and $\mathcal{H}_n^d(h_\kappa^2)$ the space of all spherical h-harmonics of degree n on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_n^d(h_\kappa^2)$ is the orthogonal complement of Π_{n-1}^d in the space Π_n^d with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\kappa := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x)\overline{g(x)} h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x),$$

and each function $f \in L^2(h_\kappa^2; \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ has a spherical h-harmonic expansion $f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \operatorname{proj}_n(h_\kappa^2; f)$ converging in the norm of $L^2(h_\kappa^2; \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$.

Here $\operatorname{proj}_n(h_\kappa^2) : L^2(h_\kappa^2; \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \to \mathcal{H}_n^d(h_\kappa^2)$ is the orthogonal projection. Also, the projection $\operatorname{proj}_n(h_\kappa^2; f)$ can be extended to all $f \in L^1(h_\kappa^2; \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ in the sense that

$$\operatorname{proj}_n(h_\kappa^2; f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(y) P_n(x, y) h_\kappa^2(y) d\sigma(y),\ f \in L^1(h_\kappa^2; \mathbb{S}^{d-1}),$$

with $P_n(h_\kappa^2; x, y)$ being the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}_n^d(h_\kappa^2)$.

A crucial point in the theory of h-harmonics is that the space $\mathcal{H}_n^d(h_\kappa^2)$ can also be seen as an eigenspace of a second order differential-difference operator $\Delta_{\kappa,0}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $-n(n + 2\lambda_\kappa)$. Here and throughout the paper,

$$\lambda_\kappa := \frac{d-2}{2} + |\kappa|.$$

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the fractional power $(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^\alpha$ of $(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})$, in a distributional sense, by

$$\operatorname{proj}_n(h_\kappa^2; (-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^\alpha f) = (n(n + 2\lambda_\kappa))^\alpha \operatorname{proj}_n(h_\kappa^2; f), \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots .$$

Next we introduce a first order differential operator on suitable functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$D_{i,j} f(x) = x_j \partial_i f(x) - x_i \partial_j f(x), \quad 1 \le i, j \le d$$

and

$$E_v f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_v x)}{\langle x, v \rangle}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

The proof of our main result relies on a decomposition of $(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})$ and an practical estimate of $\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{1/2} f\|_{\kappa,p}$ in [1], which is stated as the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. [1] *For $f \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, with the notation given above,*

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{1/2} f\|_{\kappa,2}^2 = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} \|D_{i,j} f\|_{\kappa,2}^2 + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_+} \kappa_v \|E_v f\|_{\kappa,2}^2. \tag{2.1}$$

Particularly, in the unweighted setting, namely when $\kappa = 0$, this theorem will go back to the classical result (see for instance [4, Section 1.8]) that for $f \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$,

$$\|(-\Delta_0)^{1/2} f\|_2^2 = \|\nabla_0 f\|_2^2 = \sum_{1\le i<j\le d} \|D_{i,j} f\|_2^2. \tag{2.2}$$

where $\|g\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |g(x)|^2 d\sigma(x)$, $g \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$.

3. The proof of Corollary 1.2

For the moment, we take Theorem 1.1 for granted and proceed with the proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof. By (1.5), it suffices to show

$$\|\sqrt{-\Delta_{\kappa,0}} f\|_{\kappa,2} \le \|\nabla_{\kappa,0} f\|_{\kappa,2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, noticing (3.15), (3.13) of [11], we have that

$$\|\sqrt{-\Delta_{\kappa,0}} f\|_{\kappa,2}^2 = \|\nabla_{h,0} f\|_{\kappa,2}^2 - \frac{2\lambda_\kappa}{\omega_d^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (\xi \cdot \nabla_{h,0} f(\xi)) f(\xi) h_\kappa^2(\xi)\, d\sigma(\xi), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\omega_d^\kappa = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x)$. Here it should be pointed out that the last two terms in (3.15) of [11] in fact can be cancelled out by realising that

$$(I - \sigma_v)^2 = 2(I - \sigma_v), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{R}_+.$$

Furthermore, by (3.3) of [11], we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (\xi \cdot \nabla_{h,0} f(\xi)) f(\xi) h_\kappa^2(\xi)\, d\sigma(\xi) = \sum_{v\in\mathcal{R}_+} \kappa_v \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (f(\xi) - f(\sigma_v \xi)) f(\xi) h_\kappa^2(\xi)\, d\sigma(\xi).$$

However, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x) f(\sigma_v x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \le \|f\|_{\kappa,2}^2, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{R}_+.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Big(\xi \cdot \nabla_{h,0}) f(\xi)\Big) f(\xi) h_\kappa^2(\xi)\, d\sigma(\xi) \ge 0.$$

The desired inequality (3.1) then follows by (3.2). □

4. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that $\lambda_\kappa = \frac{d-2}{2} + |\kappa|$ and $|\kappa| = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+} \kappa_\alpha$.

Our proof crucially relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If $f \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and $y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, then*

$$\Big(\frac{d-1}{2}+|\kappa|\Big)\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\langle x,y\rangle|f(x)|^2h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+}\kappa_\alpha\langle y,\alpha\rangle\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\frac{|f(x)|^2h_\kappa^2(x)}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle}\,d\sigma(x)$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^d\sum_{j=1}^d x_jy_iD_{i,j}f(x)\Big]f(x)h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x), \tag{4.1}$$

where $x_j=\langle x,e_j\rangle$ and $y_j=\langle y,e_j\rangle$.

Proof. By noticing that for $f,g\in C^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and $i\neq j$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}f(x)D_{i,j}g(x)d\sigma(x)=-\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}D_{i,j}f(x)g(x)d\sigma(x),$$

we obtain that for $2\le j\le d$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\Big[x_jD_{1,j}f(x)\Big]f(x)h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)&=-\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}f(x)\Big[D_{1,j}f(x)\Big]x_jh_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)\\&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|f(x)|^2\Big[D_{1,j}\big(x_jh_\kappa^2(x)\big)\Big]\,d\sigma(x).\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$D_{1,j}\big(x_jh_\kappa^2(x)\big)=\Big(x_1+x_1\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+}\frac{2\kappa_\alpha x_j\alpha_j}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle}-x_j^2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+}\frac{2\kappa_\alpha\alpha_1}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle}\Big)h_\kappa^2(x),$$

where $\alpha_j=\langle\alpha,e_j\rangle$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}2\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\Big[x_jD_{1,j}f(x)\Big]f(x)h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|f(x)|^2x_j^2\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+}\frac{2\kappa_\alpha\alpha_1}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle}\Big)h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)\\&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|f(x)|^2\Big[x_1+x_1\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+}\frac{2\kappa_\alpha x_j\alpha_j}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle}\Big]h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)\end{aligned}$$

Summing this last equation over $j=2,\cdots,d$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\Big[\sum_{j=2}^d x_jD_{1,j}f(x)\Big]f(x)h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|f(x)|^2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+}\frac{\kappa_\alpha\alpha_1}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle}h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)\\&\quad-\Big(|\kappa|+\frac{d-1}{2}\Big)\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}x_1|f(x)|^2h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x).\end{aligned}$$

In general, for $1\le i\le d$, recalling $D_{i,i}=0$, and using symmetry, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\Big[\sum_{j=1}^d x_jD_{i,j}f(x)\Big]f(x)h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|f(x)|^2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_+}\frac{\kappa_\alpha\alpha_i}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle}h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)\\&\quad-\Big(|\kappa|+\frac{d-1}{2}\Big)\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}x_i|f(x)|^2h_\kappa^2(x)\,d\sigma(x)d\sigma(x).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.2) by y_i and summing the resulting equation over $i=1,\cdots,d$ yield the desired identity (4.1). □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1 .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ be a small absolute constant to be specified later. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle x, y\rangle |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \le 1-\varepsilon,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 (1-\langle x, y\rangle) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \ge \varepsilon,$$

and (1.5) holds trivially as $\|\sqrt{-\Delta_{\kappa,0}} f\|_{\kappa,2} \ge \|f\|_{\kappa,2} = 1$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \langle x, y\rangle |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) > 1-\varepsilon. \tag{4.3}$$

We will use the identity (4.1). Indeed, it will be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 :=& \Big| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d y_i x_j D_{i,j} f(x) \Big] f(x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big| \\ \le& C \|\nabla_0 f\|_{\kappa,2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 (1-\langle x, y\rangle) h_\kappa^2(x)\, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and that for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+$ with $\kappa_\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(\alpha) :=& \Big| \langle y, \alpha\rangle \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{|f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle}\, d\sigma(x) \Big| \\ \le& \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|E_\alpha f\|_{\kappa,2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 (1-\langle x, y\rangle) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Once (4.4) and (4.5) are proven, then using (4.1), (4.3) and (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\varepsilon)\Big(|\kappa| + \frac{d-1}{2} \Big) \le& \frac{C|\kappa|}{\varepsilon} \|\sqrt{-\Delta_{\kappa,0}} f\|_{\kappa,2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 (1-\langle x, y\rangle) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{|\kappa|}{1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, choosing $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ small enough so that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\Big(|\kappa| + \frac{d-1}{2} \Big) - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} |\kappa| \ge C_{d,\kappa} > 0,$$

we deduce the desired inequality (1.5).

It remains to show (4.4) and (4.5). For the proof of (4.4), we first note that for $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d x_i x_j D_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d (x_i^2 x_j \partial_j - x_i x_j^2 \partial_i) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \Big| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d (y_i - x_i) x_j D_{i,j} f(x) \Big] f(x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big| \\ &\le \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{|\sum_{i,j=1}^d (y_i - x_i) x_j D_{i,j} f(x)|^2}{1 - \langle x, y\rangle} h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 (1 - \langle x, y\rangle) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

But, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big| \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d (y_i - x_i) x_j D_{i,j} f(x) \Big|^2 \le \Big[\sum_{i,j=1}^d |x_j|^2 (y_i - x_i)^2 \Big] \Big[\sum_{i,j=1}^d |D_{i,j} f(x)|^2 \Big] \\ &= 4(1 - \langle x, y\rangle) \Big[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} |D_{i,j} f(x)|^2 \Big] \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$J_1 \le 2 \Big(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |D_{i,j} f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 (1 - \langle x, y\rangle) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which implies (4.4) by (2.2).

Finally, we prove (4.5). Splitting the integral $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \cdots$ into two parts, we get

$$J_2(\alpha) \le J_{2,1}(\alpha) + J_{2,2}(\alpha), \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,1}(\alpha) &:= \Big| \langle y, \alpha\rangle \int_{|\langle x, \alpha\rangle| > (1-\varepsilon)|\langle y, \alpha\rangle|} \frac{|f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle}\, d\sigma(x) \Big|, \\ J_{2,2}(\alpha) &:= \Big| \langle y, \alpha\rangle \int_{|\langle x, \alpha\rangle| \le (1-\varepsilon)|\langle y, \alpha\rangle|} \frac{|f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle}\, d\sigma(x) \Big|. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$J_{2,1}(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}. \tag{4.7}$$

To estimate the term $J_{2,2}(\alpha)$, we first note that for any $t \in (0,1)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+$,

$$\int_{|\langle x, \alpha\rangle| \le t} \frac{|f(x)|^2}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle} h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x) = \int_{|\langle x, \alpha\rangle| \le t} \Big(E_\alpha f(x) \Big) f(x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x).$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2,2}(\alpha) &= \Big| |\langle y,\alpha\rangle \int_{|\langle x,\alpha\rangle|\le (1-\varepsilon)|\langle y,\alpha\rangle|} \Big(E_\alpha f(x)\Big) f(x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x)\Big| \\
&\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \|x-y\| \Big(E_\alpha f(x)\Big) f(x) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x)\Big| \\
&\le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon} \|E_\alpha f\|_{\kappa,2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x)|^2 (1-\langle x,y\rangle) h_\kappa^2(x)\, d\sigma(x)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second step uses the fact that if $|\langle x,\alpha\rangle| \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)|\langle y,\alpha\rangle|$, then

$$
\varepsilon |\langle y,\alpha\rangle| \leqslant |\langle y,\alpha\rangle| - |\langle x,\alpha\rangle| \leqslant \|x-y\|.
$$

Now a combination of (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) yields the estimate (4.5).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

5. Uncertainty Principle on The unit Ball and The simplex

In this section, we will drive uncertainty principles for weighted orthogonal polynomial expansions on the unit ball and the simplex from results established in the last section.

Our argument is based on a close relation among analysis on the unit sphere, the unit ball and simplex (see, e.g. [8], [1, Sections 9,10]). More precisely, given two changes of variables $y = \phi(x)$, $z = \psi(x)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi: \ \mathbb{B}^d \to \mathbb{S}^d, \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^d \mapsto (x, \sqrt{1-\|x\|^2}) \in \mathbb{S}^d, \\
&\psi: \ \mathbb{B}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d, \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^d \mapsto (x_1^2, x_2^2, \cdots, x_d^2) \in \mathbb{T}^d,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(y) d\sigma(y) \qquad (5.1) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \Big[f(x, \sqrt{1-\|x\|^2}\,) + f(x, -\sqrt{1-\|x\|^2}\,) \Big] \, \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-\|x\|^2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} g\big(\psi(x)\big) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} g(z) \, \frac{dz}{|z_1 \cdots z_d|}. \qquad (5.2)
$$

Recall that G is a finite reflection group on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a root system $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$; $\kappa : \mathcal{R} \to [0,\infty)$ is a nonnegative multiplicity function on $\mathcal{R}$; the weight functions $W^B_{\kappa,\mu}$ on $\mathbb{B}^d$ and $W^T_{\kappa,\mu}$ on $\mathbb{T}^d$ are given in (1.7) and (1.8), (1.9), respectively.

Let $\Delta^B_{\kappa,\mu}$ and $\Delta^T_{\kappa,\mu}$ be the analogues of the Dunkl-Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\kappa,0}$ on $\mathbb{B}^d$ and $\mathbb{T}^d$, respectively. They are second order differential-difference operators and their precise definitions can be found in [8, Sections 8.1,8.2]. Here we just emphasize the relations among the three operators. First, for a function f on $\mathbb{B}^d$, the identity

$$
(-\Delta^B_{\kappa,\mu})^\alpha f(x) = (-\Delta_{\tilde\kappa,0})^\alpha \widetilde{f}(\phi(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^d, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad (5.3)
$$

holds in a distributional sense, where the weight associated to $\Delta_{\tilde{\kappa},0}$ is

$$h_{\tilde{\kappa}}(x) = |x_{d+1}|^{\mu} \prod_{v \in \mathbb{R}_+} |\langle x, v\rangle|^{\kappa_v}, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^d$$

and $\tilde{f}(x, x_{d+1}) = f(x)$. Second, for a function f on $C^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$,

$$\big((-\Delta^T_{\kappa,\mu})^{\alpha} f\big) \circ \psi(x) = 4^{-\alpha}(-\Delta^B_{\kappa,\mu})^{\alpha}(f \circ \psi)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^d, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.4}$$

Then the following results on the unit ball and simplex, which are similar to that of Theorem 1.1 on the sphere, are immediate consequences of (5.1) ,(5.3) and (5.2),(5.4):

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{B}^d)$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} f(x) W^B_{\kappa,\mu}(x)\, dx = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |f(x)|^2 W^B_{\kappa,\mu}(x) dx = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[\min_{y \in \mathbb{B}^d} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} (1 - \langle x, y\rangle) |f(x)|^2 W^B_{\kappa,\mu}(x)\, d(x)\Big] \times \\ &\quad \times \Big[\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |\sqrt{-\Delta^B_{\kappa,\mu}} f(x)|^2 W^B_{\kappa,\mu}(x)\, d(x)\Big] \ge C_{d,\kappa,\mu} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) W^T_{\kappa,\mu}(x)\, dx = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |f(x)|^2 W^T_{\kappa,\mu}(x) dx = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[\min_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (1 - \langle \psi^{-1}(x), \psi^{-1}(y)\rangle) |f(x)|^2 W^T_{\kappa,\mu}(x)\, d(x)\Big] \times \\ &\quad \times \Big[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\sqrt{-\Delta^T_{\kappa,\mu}} f(x)|^2 W^T_{\kappa,\mu}(x)\, d(x)\Big] \ge C_{d,\kappa,\mu} > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where we recall that $\psi^{-1}(x) = (\sqrt{x_1}, \sqrt{x_2}, \cdots, \sqrt{x_d})$.

We remark that Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 above improve the corresponding results obtained recently in [11]. In fact, Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.2, Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 5.3 of the paper [11] follow directly from the above two theorems. We also note that equivalently, we can take the minimums in the above two theorems over the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ rather than the ball $\mathbb{B}^d$.

References

[1] F. Dai, H. Feng, Riesz transforms and fractional integration for orthogonal expansions on spheres, balls and simplices, submitted, 2014.

[2] F. Dai, H. Wang, A transference theorem for the Dunkl transform and its applications, J. Functional Anal. 258(2010), no. 12, 4052-4074.

[3] F. Dai and Y. Xu, The Hardy-Rellich inequality and uncertainty principle on the sphere. Constr. Approx. **40** (2014), no. 1, 141–171.

[4] F. Dai and Y. Xu, Approximation Theory and Harmonic Analysis on Spheres and Balls, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2013.

[5] C.F. Dunkl, Reflection groups and orthogonal polynomials on the sphere, Math. Z. **197** (1988), 33–60.

[6] C.F. Dunkl, Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), 167–183.

[7] C.F. Dunkl, Integral kernels with reflection group invariance, Canadian J. Math. **43** (6) (1991), 1213–1227.

[8] C.F. Dunkl and Y. Xu, Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014. Cambridge Books Online. Web. 28 August 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107786134

[9] G.B. Folland, A. Sitaram, The uncertainty principle: a mathematical survey, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3**(1997), 207-238 .

[10] M. Rösler, M. Voit, An uncertainty principle for ultraspherical expansions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **209**(1997), 624-634 .

[11] Y. Xu, Uncertainty principle on weighted spheres, balls and simplexes. J. Approx. Theory 192 (2015), 193-214.

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1, Canada.

E-mail address: hfeng3@ualberta.ca